

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/633,139 08/04/00 NAKAZAWA 000977 **EXAMINER** 023850 MM91/0411 ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI, PAPER NUMBER MCLELAND & NAUGHTON, LLP **ART UNIT** 1725 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20006 2834

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/11/01

Application No. 09/633,139

Applicant(s)

Ojima et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Thanh Lam

Group Art Unit 2834



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for allowance except for allowance.	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11; 493 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	TO TESTICITU WILLIAM LIFE DOLLOG TO TOOPOTTO THE CASE
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-5	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The drawing(s) filed on	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior art (fig. 1-2 of the application) in view of Evans et al.

Prior art (fig. 1 and 2) disclose all the aspect of the claimed invention except for the use of a reluctance motor having magnetic salient poles and a permanent magnet disposed respectively in the salient

.

Evans et al. disclose a reluctance motor having magnetic salient poles (16) and a permanent magnet (28) disposed respectively in the salient poles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the motor structure as taught by prior art and replace the reluctance rotor of Evans et al. as dislosed above to take place of the rotor of prior art that would provide a reluctance rotor with improvement of a power output.

5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art in view of Evans et al. As applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Trago et al.

Prior art and Evans et al.disclose essentially claimed invention except for the shield member comprising a mold body of a synthetic resin and the stator being embedded in the resin.

Trago et al. disclose a mold body of a synthetic resin and the stator being embedded in the resin for improving corrosion resistance of the stator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the shield member of prior art and replace the mold resin as taught by Trago et al. for preventing corrosive and improving the corrosion resistance of the stator.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art in view of Evans as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Naito et al.

Prior art and Evans et al. disclose all the aspect of the claimed invention except for the rotor is made of permalloy.

Application/Control Number: 09633139

Art Unit: 2834

Naito et al. disclose permalloy material (col. 14, line 4-5) for making a rotor in order to

prevent corrosion of the rotor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to utilize the rotor as taught by Prior art and apply the use of permalloy as disclosed by

Naito et al. into the rotor that would provide the rotor with a high corrosion resistance.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0656.

Thanh Lam

April 6, 2001

ELVIN ENAD

142874 4/9/201 Page 4